

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
POR 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspio.gev

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/635,832	08/09/2000	Shunpei Yamazaki	07977/182002/US3413D1	6795
759	90 06/30/2003			
Scott C Harris Fish & Richardson PC 601 13th Street NW			EXAMINER TOLEDO, FERNANDO L	
	2823			
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The service of the control of the co

Comprehension of the control of the

of the second restains a committee of the old the second second the old the second second second second second

en de la companya de la co

4 4

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

pola, the	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/635,832	YAMAZAKI ET AL.
·	Examin r	Art Unit
	Fernando Toledo	2823
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	rrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 06 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amo he shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe	r consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);	•
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would l canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) will not be entered or b) will be rejected is provided below	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>18-37 and 39-56</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-17 and 38		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disappr	oved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. ☐ Other:		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is not relevant if the second reference discloses of selves the problems stated in the primary reference. What it is sufficient is that there is motivation to combine both reference to teach what the present invention claims.